Asymmetric Rhythms, Tiling Canons, and Burnside's Lemma

Rachel W. Hall
Dept. of Math and C. S.
Saint Joseph's University
5600 City Avenue
Philadelphia, PA 19131, USA
E-mail: rhall@sju.edu

Paul Klingsberg
Dept. of Math and C. S.
Saint Joseph's University
5600 City Avenue
Philadelphia, PA 19131, USA
E-mail: pklingsb@sju.edu

Abstract

A musical *rhythm pattern* is a sequence of note onsets. We consider repeating rhythm patterns, called *rhythm cycles*. Many typical rhythm cycles from Africa are *asymmetric*, meaning that they cannot be broken into two parts of equal duration. More precisely: if a rhythm cycle has a period of $2n$ beats, it is asymmetric if positions x and $x + n$ do not both contain a note onset. We ask the questions (1) How many asymmetric rhythm cycles of period $2n$ are there? (2) Of these, how many have exactly r notes? We use Burnside's Lemma to count these rhythms. Our methods can also answer analogous questions involving division of rhythm cycles of length ℓn into ℓ equal parts. Asymmetric rhythms may be used to construct rhythmic tiling canons, in the sense of Andreatta (2003).

1. Rhythm Patterns, Rhythm Cycles, and Asymmetry

Anyone who listens to rock music is familiar with the repeated drumbeat—ONE, two, THREE, four—based on a 4/4 measure. Fifteen minutes listening to a Top 40 radio station is evidence enough that most rock music has this basic beat, or its cousin: one, TWO, three, FOUR. But if we turn the radio dial, and if we're lucky enough to live near immigrant communities, we may hear popular music with different characteristic rhythms: Latin, African, Indian—and even Macedonian. Although much of this music still is based on the 4/4 measure, some instruments play repeated patterns that do not synchronize with the "ONE, two, THREE, four" beat, creating an exciting tension between different components of the rhythm section. This article is concerned with classifying and counting rhythms that, even when shifted, cannot be synchronized with the division of a measure into two parts. In addition, we will discuss rhythms that cannot be aligned with other even divisions of the measure. Our result has a surprising application to rhythmic canons.

1.1. Rhythm patterns and cycles. A *rhythm pattern* is a sequence of note onsets. We will assume there is some basic, invariant unit pulse that cannot be divided; that is, every note onset occurs at the beginning of some pulse. We identify two rhythm patterns if they have the same sequence of onsets. For example,

 and are equivalent.

Here, we consider only periodic rhythm patterns. In this case, it is natural to deem two rhythms equivalent if one is a shift of the other. For example,

 is equivalent to 

We call the equivalence classes *rhythm cycles*. We will sometimes call one period of the cycle a *measure*.

1.2. Asymmetry. Many rhythm cycles from Africa, Latin America, and Eastern Europe are *asymmetric*—that is, they cannot be broken into two parts of equal duration, where each part starts with a note onset. Asymmetric rhythm cycles are, in a sense, maximally syncopated: although they live in a world in which measures are naturally divided in half, they cannot be delayed so that note onsets coincide with both the beginning and midpoint of a measure. Asymmetric rhythms are always a little out of sync with our expectations.

1.3. Notation. Here are five different notations for the same rhythm cycle.

standard	|: 𝅗𝅥. 𝅗𝅥. 𝅗𝅥 :| or |: 𝅘𝅥𝅯𝄾𝄾 𝅘𝅥𝅯𝄾𝄾 𝅘𝅥𝅯𝄾 :|	binary necklace 
drum tablature	|: x..x..x. :|	
binary	...10010010...	

The first line shows the standard Western musical notation. Since only note onsets, not durations, matter, we can represent the same pattern using `x`'s for note onsets and `.`'s for rests—we'll call this system *drum tablature*. *Binary notation* replaces the `x`'s by 1's and the `.`'s by 0's. Repeat signs ("|:" and ":|") are used to bracket cycles. An especially suggestive notation is the representation of rhythm cycles as necklaces of black and white beads, with black beads corresponding to note onsets and white ones to rests. In this case, the cyclic shift becomes a rotation. There is extensive literature on such *binary necklaces*, to which our results contribute.

2. Rhythms as Functions

We will now translate into mathematical terms. A rhythm pattern can be represented as a function $f : Z \to \{0, 1\}$, where $f(x) = 1$ if there is a note onset on pulse x and $f(x) = 0$ otherwise. The function f represents a periodic rhythm of period p if $f(x) = f(x + p)$ for all $x \in Z$; thus, f can be identified with a function with domain Z/pZ or Z_p. A *rhythm cycle* is defined to be an equivalence class of p-periodic functions modulo the shift $(s \cdot f)(x) = f(x - 1)$.

Finally, we want to consider not all rhythm patterns but only the asymmetric ones. The notion of an asymmetric rhythm pattern makes sense only if the period is even. We say that a rhythm pattern of period $p = 2n$ is *asymmetric mod* $2n$ if when a note onset occurs at beat x, no onset occurs at beat $x + n$. That is, $f(x) = 1$ only if $f(x+n) = 0$. In total, there are 3^n asymmetric rhythm patterns. Indeed, if we partition the elements of Z_{2n} into n pairs $\{\{0, n\}, \{1, n+1\}, \ldots \{n-1, 2n-1\}\}$, then constructing a function $f \in S_{2n}$ corresponds to choosing, for each pair, one of the following three possibilities:

Choice 1. $f = 0$ for both members of the pair.
Choice 2. $f = 1$ for the first element and $f = 0$ for the second element.
Choice 3. $f = 0$ for the first element and $f = 1$ for the second element.

We count the total number of asymmetric rhythm cycles of period $2n$ by starting with the set S_{2n} of all 3^n asymmetric rhythm patterns of period $2n$,

$$S_{2n} = \{f : Z_{2n} \to \{0, 1\} \mid f(x) = 1 \Rightarrow f(x + n) = 0\},$$

and counting the number of equivalence classes modulo the shift. Similarly, we count the number of r-note asymmetric rhythms of period $2n$ by starting with the subset S^r_{2n} of r-note asymmetric rhythm patterns of period $2n$,

$$S^r_{2n} = \{f \in S_{2n} \mid \text{ the number of } x \text{ such that } f(x) = 1 \text{ is } r\ \},$$

and counting equivalence classes modulo cyclic shift.

In both cases, the equivalence classes are *orbits* induced by a group action.[1] For rhythm cycles, the group is Z_{2n}, and element $m \in Z_{2n}$ acts on a cycle by shifting it through m positions. On the level of functions: for $f \in S_{2n}$ (respectively $f \in S^r_{2n}$), the function $m \cdot f$ is given by $(m \cdot f)(x) = f(x-m)$, where addition is modulo $2n$. Because the equivalence classes are orbits, we can apply Burnside's Lemma. The statement of this lemma is as follows; for a proof, see [3].

Burnside's Lemma 1 *Let a finite group G act on a finite set S; for each $\beta \in G$, define $\mathrm{fix}(\beta)$ to be the number of elements $s \in S$ such that $\beta \cdot s = s$. Then the number of orbits that G induces on S is given by*

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\beta \in G} \mathrm{fix}(\beta).$$

3. The Total Number of Asymmetric Rhythm Cycles

Theorem 1 *The number of asymmetric rhythm cycles of period $2n$ is given by*

$$\frac{1}{2n}\left[\sum_{d|n} \phi(2d) + \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d \text{ odd}}} 3^{n/d}\phi(d)\right],$$

where $\phi(d)$ is the number of integers $1 \le x \le d$ such that x is relatively prime to d.

Proof. With the group Z_{2n} acting on the set S_{2n}, the number of orbits (i.e. cycles) is $(1/2n)\sum_{\beta \in Z_{2n}} \mathrm{fix}(\beta)$ by Burnside's Lemma. We need to determine $\mathrm{fix}(\beta)$. For each divisor d of $2n$, we will find the elements β of order d and determine $\mathrm{fix}(\beta)$, which will depend only on d. Pick a divisor d of $2n$, and let $k = 2n/d$. The elements of order d in Z_{2n} are the elements of Z_{2n} that generate $kZ/2nZ \simeq Z_d$,—that is, the subgroup of multiples of k mod $2n$. These are the elements $\beta = kj$, where $1 \le j \le d$ and $\gcd(j,d) = 1$, so there are $\phi(d)$ of them. Moreover, for each β of order d, $\beta \cdot f = f$ if and only if $f(x+k) = f(x)$ for all x; that is, $\mathrm{fix}(\beta)$ is the number of k-periodic functions in S_{2n}.

Two cases arise: either k divides n (in which case d is even); or k does not divide n (in which case d is an odd divisor of n and k is even).

Case 1. If k divides n and $\beta \cdot f = f$, then for each x in Z_{2n}, $f(x) = f(x+k)$ which implies $f(x) = f(x+n) = 0$—that is, $f(x) \equiv 0$. Thus, in this case, only the function $f(x) \equiv 0$ is fixed by β, so $\mathrm{fix}(\beta) = 1$.

Case 2. If k does not divide n, then $0 \not\equiv n \bmod k$, but $0 \equiv 2n \bmod k$ because k divides $2n$. This implies that $n \equiv k/2 \bmod k$.

Now, if f is k-periodic, then f is determined by its values on the subset $\{0, 1, \ldots k-1\}$ of Z_{2n}. If we partition this subset into $k/2$ pairs $\{\{0, k/2\}, \ldots, \{k/2-1, k-1\}\}$, then constructing a k-periodic function $f \in S_{2n}$ corresponds to making one of the same three choices listed above for each of these pairs. Thus

[1] Recall: if group G acts on set S and $s \in S$, the orbit of s is the set $\{g \cdot s \mid g \in G\}$.

$\mathrm{fix}(\beta) = 3^{k/2} = 3^{n/d}$. Putting together the two cases now yields the result:

$$\frac{1}{2n}\sum_{\beta\in Z_{2n}}\mathrm{fix}(\beta) = \frac{1}{2n}\Big[\sum_{\substack{d|2n\\ d\text{ even}}}\phi(d)\cdot 1 + \sum_{\substack{d|n\\ d\text{ odd}}}\phi(d)\cdot 3^{n/d}\Big] = \frac{1}{2n}\Big[\sum_{d|n}\phi(2d) + \sum_{\substack{d|n\\ d\text{ odd}}}3^{n/d}\phi(d)\Big].$$

□

4. The Number of r–beat Asymmetric Rhythm Cycles

The argument here is analogous to that in the previous section; we let Z_{2n} act on S^r_{2n}, and we count the orbits. In the (exceptional) case $r = 0$, there is obviously one asymmetric cycle; below, we restrict our attention to $r \geq 1$.

Theorem 2 *For any $1 \leq r \leq n$, the number of asymmetric r–beat rhythm cycles is given by*

$$\frac{1}{2n}\sum_{\substack{d|\gcd(n,r)\\ d\text{ odd}}}\phi(d)\binom{n/d}{r/d}2^{r/d}. \qquad (\dagger)$$

Proof. In outline, the proof is similar to that of Theorem 1. As in that proof: choose a divisor d of $2n$; put $k = 2n/d$; and let $\beta \in Z_{2n}$ be of order d. For any $f \in S^r_{2n}$, as before, $\beta \cdot f = f$ if and only if f is k-periodic. In the present context, though, there are three cases, not two:

Case 1. k divides n;
Case 2. k does not divide n and d does not divide r;
Case 3. k does not divide n and d divides r.

Case 1. If k divides n and $\beta \cdot f = f$, then for each x in Z_{2n}, $f(x) = f(x+k)$, which implies $f(x) = f(x+n)$, so $f(x) = 0$ for all x. However, since $r \geq 1$, the zero function is not in S^r_{2n}. In Case 1, $\mathrm{fix}(\beta) = 0$.

Case 2. In order for $f \in S^r_{2n}$ to be k-periodic, the number of elements x such that $f(x) = 1$ would have to be a multiple of d. But r is not a multiple of d; so in Case 2 also, $\mathrm{fix}(\beta) = 0$.

Case 3. If k does not divide n and d divides r, then the asymmetry condition again implies that each $f \in S_{2n}$ fixed by β is constructed by making the same three choices on the pairs $\{0, k/2\}\ldots\{k/2-1, k-1\}$, but in order to ensure that there are exactly r 1's, one must make Choices 2 or 3 for exactly r/d of the pairs and Choice 1 for the rest. To construct such a function, then, one must:

1. Choose r/d of the n/d pairs. This can be done in $\binom{n/d}{r/d}$ ways.
2. For each of the selected pairs, make either Choice 2 or Choice 3. This sequence of choices can be made in $2^{r/d}$ ways.
3. Make Choice 1 for all the pairs you did not select in step 1.

Thus, in Case 3, $\mathrm{fix}(\beta) = \binom{n/d}{r/d}2^{r/d}$. Putting the three cases together now yields (†). □

In general, the *complement* of a rhythm cycle is the cycle formed by exchanging beats and rests. On the level of functions, the complement of f, f^c, equals $1 - f$. The maximum number of beats in an asymmetric rhythm cycle of length $2n$ is n. Asymmetric cycles of n notes have an additional property: they are *complementary*—that is, equivalent to their own complements—since $f(x) = 1$ if and only if $f(x + n) = 0$, which implies $(-n) \cdot f(x) = f(x + n) = 1 - f(x)$. Finally, putting $r = n$ in (†) gives the number of complementary asymmetric rhythm cycles.

Corollary 3 *The number of complementary asymmetric rhythm cycles is given by* $\dfrac{1}{2n} \displaystyle\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d \text{ odd}}} \phi(d) 2^{n/d}$.

5. Generalization to ℓ-Asymmetry

Rhythmic asymmetry may be generalized: we say that a periodic rhythm of period ℓn is *ℓ-asymmetric* if when position x contains a note onset, then all other positions y, where $y \equiv x \bmod n$, do not contain note onsets. Our previous definition of asymmetry corresponds to ℓ-asymmetry when $\ell = 2$. For example, the 12-periodic rhythm 100000100101 is 3-asymmetric ($n = 4$).

Let $R_\ell(n, r)$ denote the number of r-note, ℓ-asymmetric rhythms. Using Burnside's Lemma, we prove

$$R_\ell(n, r) = \frac{1}{\ell n} \sum_{\substack{d|\gcd(n,r) \\ \gcd(d,\ell)=1}} \phi(d) \binom{n/d}{r/d} \ell^{r/d}. \qquad (*)$$

If we remove the restriction that the rhythms have r note onsets, then the number of ℓ-asymmetric rhythms of length ℓn is

$$\sum_{r=0}^{n} R_\ell(n, r) = \frac{1}{\ell n} \left[\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ \gcd(d,\ell)=1}} \phi(d)(\ell + 1)^{n/d} + \sum_{\substack{d|\ell n \\ \gcd(d,\ell)>1}} \phi(d) \right].$$

6. Applications

6.1. Rhythmic tiling canons. A *canon*, or round, is a musical figure produced when two or more voices play the same melody, with each voice offset by a fixed time interval from the others. Popular rounds include "Frère Jacques" and "Row, row, row your boat." A *rhythmic canon* is a canon in which each voice plays the same rhythm pattern offset by a number of beats. A *rhythmic tiling canon* is a canon of rhythm cycles with the restriction that when all voices are played, the resultant rhythm has exactly one note onset per unit. [2]

Suppose one wishes to construct a cycle that forms a 12-periodic rhythmic tiling canon when played by three voices, offset from each other by four beats. Here is an example of a possible tiling canon, generated by the cycle `|: x.....x..x.x :|` (that is, 100000100101):

```
x.....x..x.x |: x.....x..x.x :|
    x.....x. |: .x.xx.....x. :|
        x... |: ..x..x.xx... :|
```

[2] This term is due to Andreatta [1]. It is equivalent to Vuza's *regular complementary canon* [6]

Observe that the positions x, $x+4$, and $x+8$ must contain exactly one drumhit, where addition is done mod 12. In other words, this is a four-note 3-asymmetric rhythm cycles of length 12. Using our formula for the number of r-beat rhythm cycles, where $\ell = 3$, $n = 4$, and $r = n = 4$, we see that there must be eight such cycles, as shown.

1. `|: xxxx........ :|`
2. `|: xxx....x.... :|`
3. `|: xx....xx.... :|`
4. `|: xx.x......x. :|`
5. `|: xx.x..x..... :|`
6. `|: x.....x..x.x :|`
7. `|: x.x..x.x.... :|`
8. `|: x..x..x..x.. :|`

Audio recordings of all these rhythms are available at www.sju.edu/∼rhall/bridges.html. Notice that Patterns 3 and 8 are *not primitive*, meaning that they can be realized using a smaller period (Pattern 3 has primitive period 6, and Pattern 8 has primitive period 3). Patterns 5 and 6 are inversions of each other (that is, Pattern 5 is Pattern 6 played backwards); all other patterns are symmetric with respect to inversion. It is interesting to listen to how the degree of asymmetry affects the sound of the resulting canon; Patterns 5 and 6 sound the "most asymmetric."

In general, any ℓn-periodic rhythm with n note onsets which is ℓ-asymmetric forms a tiling canon of ℓ voices, offset by multiples of n notes. The number of such rhythmic tiling canons may be found by substituting $r = n$ in $(*)$.

6.2. Rhythmic oddity. Simha Arom [2] pointed out that certain asymmetric rhythms played by peoples of the Central African Republic possess what he denotes the *rhythmic oddity property.* The rhythms Arom studied have the additional restriction that all note onsets are spaced by 2 or 3 units, and that the period is $4n$, thus ensuring that the rhythm splits into two patterns of length $2n-1$ and $2n+1$. Chemillier [4] and Chemillier and Truchet [5] has developed an algorithm to generate all rhythms formed from 2- or 3-unit notes having the rhythmic oddity property. The question of a formula for the number of rhythms with the oddity property is still open.

References

[1] Moreno Andreatta. Méthodes algébriques en musique et musicologie du XXe siècle : aspects théoriques, analytiques et compositionnels, 2003. PhD thesis. Under the direction of Alain Poirer. École des Hautes Etudes en Sciences Sociales.

[2] Simha Arom. *African polyphony and polyrhythm: musical structure and methodology*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, MA, 1991.

[3] Kenneth P. Bogart. *Introductory combinatorics*. Harcourt/Academic Press, San Diego, CA, third edition, 2000.

[4] Marc Chemillier. Ethnomusicology, ethnomathematics. The logic underlying orally transmitted artistic practices. In *Mathematics and music (Lisbon/Vienna/Paris, 1999)*, pages 161–183. Springer, Berlin, 2002.

[5] Marc Chemillier and Charlotte Truchet. Computation of words satisfying the rhythmic oddity property (after Simha Arom's works). *Information Processing Letters*, 86:255–261, 2003.

[6] Dan Tudor Vuza. Supplementary sets and regular complementary unending canons I–IV. *Perspectives of New Music*, 29(2)–31(1), 1991–1993.